

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,351	1	12/21/2001	Eiichi Torigoe	4041J-000309/DVA 6345	
27572	7590	12/13/2002			
,		Y & PIERCE,	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 82: BLOOMFIEL	-	S, MI 48303	DUONG, THO V		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.			•	M			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/032,351	TORIGOE ET AL.				
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tho v Duong	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified about</li> </ul>	HIS COMMUNICATION under the provisions of 37 CFR a ring date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reove, the maximum statutory perio ded period for reply will, by statt than three months after the mail	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become.	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this considered ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>21</u>	<u>1 December 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL	. 2b) 🗌 🧵	This action is non-final.					
Since this application closed in accordance     Disposition of Claims	n is in condition for allow with the practice unde	wance except for formal m er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
4) Claim(s) 4-7 and 12-	18 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above clain	n(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are	rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 4-7 and 12-1	8 are subject to restrict	tion and/or election require	ement.				
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is ob	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed or							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
			disapproved by the Examin	er.			
	drawings are required in a						
12) The oath or declaration	·	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11							
13) Acknowledgment is m		gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c	. —						
`		nts have been received.					
T	•	nts have been received in					
application	from the International E	iority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) st of the certified copies no		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 0     Information Disclosure Statemen	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/032,351

Art Unit: 3743

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species are identified as species of figures 3 and 9. In addition, if applicant elects species of figure 3, then applicant is further required to elect one of the subspecies of figures 4 or 6. If applicant elects species of figure 9, then applicant is further required to elect one of the subspecies of figures 10 or 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/032,351

Art Unit: 3743

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Tho Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on from 9:30-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennet, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Tho Duong

December 6, 2002

Heary Bennett Supervision/Patent Examina